



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/651,054	08/28/2003	John M. Thompson	1068-001	8993	
27820	7590 07/22/2005		EXAMINER		
WITHROW & TERRANOVA, P.L.L.C. P.O. BOX 1287			HOANG, JOHNNY H		
CARY, NC			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		·	3747		
			DATE MAILED: 07/22/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·······	Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)	_
Office Action Summary		,054	THOMPSON ET AL.	
		ner	Art Unit	-
	Johnny	H. Hoang	3747	
The MAILING DATE of this comm	nunication appears on	the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	_
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM  - Extensions of time may be available under the provise after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this control of the period for reply specified above is less than this lift NO period for reply is specified above, the maximuter of the period for reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(	UNICATION. ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ommunication. ty (30) days, a reply within the s m statutory period will apply and eply will, by statute, cause the a ths after the mailing date of this	event, however, may a reply be tin statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s)</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condit closed in accordance with the present the condition of the condit</li></ol>	2b)⊠ This action is ion for allowance exce	s non-final. pt for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the shape of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to result of the shape of the s	s/are withdrawn from o			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 Augus Applicant may not request that any of Replacement drawing sheet(s) included 11) The oath or declaration is objected	t 2003 is/are: a)⊠ acobjection to the drawing(s ding the correction is req	s) be held in abeyance. Security of the drawing(s) is objective.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla a) All b) Some * c) None of 1. Certified copies of the prio 2. Certified copies of the prio 3. Copies of the certified cop application from the Intern * See the attached detailed Office a	f: rity documents have b rity documents have b es of the priority docu ational Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Applicati ments have been receive Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/651,054

Art Unit: 3747

### Response to amendment

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wachi et al (US 6,499,474 B2) in view of Higgs et al (US 4,417,467).

Regarding claim 1, the reference of Wachi et al discloses an air/fuel ratio control apparatus for internal combustion engine which including the following subject matter:

detecting a peak in an oxygen level in an exhaust stream (col. 6, lines 51-60); and

linking the peak in the oxygen level to a particular cylinder in the engine (abstract); the reference of Wachi et al does not teach reporting the peak in the oxygen level to an operator in a human readable format.

However, the reference of Higgs et al discloses a graphical display of engine cylinder parameters which including the DAU includes a CRT video display 42 that is driven by a video map RAM 44 through I/O lines 46 from the processor bus 32 (col. 3, line 29-37).

It would have been an obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify and/or provide the apparatus of Wachi et al with a display of peaks, as that of Higgs et al.

Regarding claim 2, the reference of Wachi et al discloses the air/fuel ratio lambda is detected by sensor 8 which including the oxygen sensor is a lambda sensor.

Regarding claims 3-4, 7-9, and 21-24, as discussed above.

Regarding claims 10-12, the reference of Higgs et al further teaches linking the peak in the oxygen level to a particular cylinder in the engine comprises comparing the linked timing reference and peak to a fingerprint (VRT video display 42) for the engine (above discussions and see abstract for further information).

Regarding claims 13-17, and 25-26 as above discussions, Wachi et al further teach DAU includes a microprocessor CPU 34 and RAM 38 with memory storage capacity based on total data storage requirements (col. 3, lines 11-51), which is a computer readable medium.

Regarding claims 5, 6, and 18-20, the reference of Wachi et al discloses the system with respective cylinders (#1 through #4) of the engine.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed May 12, 2005 have been fully considered but are mood in view of the new group(s) of rejection. Claims 1-26 are pending.

#### Conclusion

74. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Johnny H. Hoang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4843. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00Am-6:30Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Yuen can be reached on (571) 272-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/651,054

Art Unit: 3747

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JHH July 18, 2005 Johnny H. Hoang Examiner Art Unit 3747

Page 4

Tony M. Argenbright Primary Examiner Art Unit 3747